



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/671,740	09/29/2000	Mitsuhiro Shibazaki	000635	2061
38834	7590	12/09/2005	EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			CHANG, SUNRAY	
1250 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW			ART UNIT	
SUITE 700			PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2121	

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/671,740	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SHIBAZAKI, MITSUHIRO	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sunray Chang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2121	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

**DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in responsive to the paper filed on August 31<sup>st</sup>, 2005.

Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 9 are presented for examination.

Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 9 are rejected.

***Claim Objections***

2. Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: applicant claims for generating regular N-polygonal figures is not supported by applicant's specification and drawings, "rounded polygonal figures" should be used to replace "regular polygonal figures". Appropriate correction is required.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. **Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.**

Specifically, independent claims include limitations drawn to drilling means. But the specification does not disclose the methodology for actually how to form a N-polygonal figure

Art Unit: 2121

using a  $(N+1)$  figure. No algorithms, techniques or flow charts are disclosed. While directing back to specification, for example, makes references to, pages 21 – 35. Applicant's specification appears to be drawn entirely to procedures of single point forming N-polygonal figures.

Applicants have not disclosed specifically how to form a N-polygonal figure using a  $(N+1)$  polygonal figure. Such that one skilled in the art could make and/or use the claimed invention without undue experimentation.

References, Charles T. Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 3,599,736, Col. 1, Lines 51 – 54), Roger J. Morrell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,074,778, Abstract, Col. 1 and 2) and Chen-Kang David Chen (U.S. Patent No. 5,864,058, Col. 7, Lines 46 – 47) have been cited by examiner for further explains it is well known that the lobed cutting tools tend to cut polygonal holes having one more side than the number of lobes of the tool, three-lobed tools tend to drill square holes; four-lobed tools tend to drill pentagonal holes; and so on. Thus it is considered to be known persons of ordinary skill in the art that an  $(N + 1)$  sided tool will cut an  $(N+2)$  polygon.

**4. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.**

Specifically, independent claims include limitations drawn to drilling means. But the specification does not disclose the methodology for actually how to form a N-polygonal figure using a  $(N+1)$  figure. No algorithms, techniques or flow charts are disclosed. While directing

back to specification, for example, makes references to, pages 21 – 35. Applicant's specification appears to be drawn entirely to procedures of single point forming N-polygonal figures.

Applicants have not disclosed specifically how to form a N-polygonal figure using a (N+1) polygonal figure. Accordingly, a skilled artisan would not know how to make and/or use the claimed invention from the written description contained in the specification.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

The term, "forming a N-polygonal figure using a (N+1) figure", in claims 3 and 8 is vague and indefinite. Because the term, "form a N-polygonal figure using a (N+1) figure", are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

References, Charles T. Thompson (U.S. Patent No. 3,599,736, Col. 1, Lines 51 – 54), Roger J. Morrell et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,074,778, Abstract, Col. 1 and 2) and Chen-Kang David Chen (U.S. Patent No. 5,864,058, Col. 7, Lines 46 – 47) have been cited by examiner for further explains it is well known that the lobed cutting tools tend to cut polygonal holes having one more side than the number of lobes of the tool, three-lobed tools tend to drill square holes; four-lobed

Art Unit: 2121

tools tend to drill pentagonal holes; and so on. Thus it is considered to be known persons of ordinary skill in the art that an  $(N + 1)$  sided tool will cut an  $(N+2)$  polygon.

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
6. **Claims 1 – 4 and 6 – 9 are rejected** under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yoshihiko Kimura [JP 406304805, (forth office action cited) and referred to as **Kimura** hereinafter], and in view of David P. Little (SpiroGraph, <http://www.math.dartmouth.edu/~dlittle/java/SpiroGraph/>, 1997, and referred to as **Little** hereinafter).

(**Kimura** as set forth above generally discloses the basic inventions.)

**Regarding independent claims 1, 4, 6 and 9,**

**Kimura** teaches, boring a hole having a shape [form a square shape hole] defined by the contour of the regular N-polygonal figure [square]. [Abstract, Fig. 2 – 12]

**Kimura** does not teach,

- The center point (S) of a regular N – polygonal figure to be determined is set as a fixed point; a point, which is distant by a certain length from the said center point (S) and revolves around the center point (S), is set as a first point (E); a point, which is distant by a certain length from the first point (E) and revolves around the first point (E), is set as a second point (M);
- The second point (M) revolves around the first point (E) at an angular velocity  $\omega$ , that the first point (E) revolves around the center point (S) at an angular velocity  $(1 - N) \omega$ , that the first point (E) is away from the center point (S) by a distance ( r ), and that the second point (M) is away from the first point (E) by a distance  $(N - 1)^2 r$ , the locus of the second point (M) defines a contour of a regular N – polygonal figure to be determined being circumscribed on a circle having a radius  $N(N - 2) r$ .

**Little** teaches,

- the center point (S) [origin, Line 18, Page 1] of a regular N – polygonal figure [Square in Fig. 1, Page 2] to be determined is set as a fixed point [origin, Line 18, Page 1]; a point  $[(R + r, 0)]$ , Line 20, Page 1], which is distant by a certain length  $[R + r]$ , Line 20, Page 1] from the said center point (S) [origin, Line 18, Page 1] and revolves around [large circuit in Fig. 1, Page 2] the center point (S) [origin, Line 18, Page 1], is set as a first point (E)  $[(R + r, 0)]$ , Line 20, Page 1]; a point [reflector, Line 22, Page 1], which is distant by a certain length [Distance of Point, Line 22, Page 1] from the first point (E)  $[(R + r, 0)]$ , Line 20, Page 1] and

Art Unit: 2121

revolves around [small circuit in Fig. 1, Page 2] the first point (E)  $[(R + r, 0)$ , Line 20, Page 1], is set as a second point (M) [reflector, Line 22, Page 1];

- Further, **Little** teaches the second point (M) revolves around the first point (E) at an angular velocity  $\omega$ , that the first point (E) revolves around the center point (S) at an angular velocity  $(1 - N) \omega$ , that the first point (E) is away from the center point (S) by a distance  $(r)$ , and that the second point (M) is away from the first point (E) by a distance  $(N - 1)^2 r$ , the locus of the second point (M) defines a contour of a regular  $N$  - polygonal figure to be determined being circumscribed on a circle having a radius  $N(N - 2) r$ .

By

$$X(t) = (R + r) \cos(t) - p \times \cos((R + r)t / r)$$

$$Y(t) = (R + r) \sin(t) - p \times \sin((R + r)t / r)$$

Multiplied by a constant angular velocity  $\omega$

$$\theta = \omega t$$

(Note: Function in the time domain are the same when written in the frequency domain and Official Notice is taken of this fact.)

We can get

$$X(\theta) = (R + r) \cos(\theta) - p \times \cos((R + r)\theta / r)$$

$$Y(\theta) = (R + r) \sin(\theta) - p \times \sin((R + r)\theta / r)$$

Base on

Second point (M) revolves around first point (E) at angular velocity  $\omega$

First point (E) is away from the center point (S) by a distance  $(r)$



Art Unit: 2121

According to the value of  $k = 1 - N$  is a result of the initial value being set to  $2\pi - (2\pi / N)$  which is an arbitrary value. It is inherent for a person with ordinary skill in the art to get the same results as:

- Angular velocity of the first point (M) is  $(1 - N) \omega$  is constant.
- Second point (M) is away from first point (E) by distance  $(N - 1)^2 r$  which is derived from the initial value of  $2\pi - (2\pi / N)$ .
- The locus of the second point (M) defines a contour of a regular  $N -$  polygonal figure to be determined being circumscribed on a circle having radius  $N (N - 2) r$  which is derived from the initial value of  $2\pi - (2\pi / N)$ .
- The contour of the  $N$ -polygonal figure can be defined by a function  $f(\theta)$ ; the function  $f(\theta)$  is a one-valued function; the function  $f(\theta)$  is a periodic function with a period  $2\pi / N$ ; the function  $f(\theta)$  has one maximum value and one minimum value in one period, the function  $f(\theta)$  has line symmetry with respect to the center of the minimum point between the two maximum points, in regard to one period from a maximum point to the next maximum point of the function  $f(\theta)$ ; and the function  $f(\theta)$  has a positive curvature or no curvature.  $[X(t) = (R + r) \cos(t) - p \times \cos((R + r)t / r); Y(t) = (R + r) \sin(t) - p \times \sin((R + r)t / r)]$ , sinusoid functions are well known with this features, see further official notice [mathworld.wolfram.com](http://mathworld.wolfram.com)]

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of **Kimura** as taught by **Little** to include "The center point (S) of a regular  $N -$  polygonal figure to be determined is set as a fixed point; a point, which is distant by a certain length from the said center point (S) and revolves around the center point (S), is set as a first point (E); a point, which is distant by a certain length from the first point (E) and revolves around the first point (E), is set as a second point (M); The second point

Art Unit: 2121

(M) revolves around the first point (E) at an angular velocity  $\omega$ , that the first point (E) revolves around the center point (S) at an angular velocity  $(1 - N) \omega$ , that the first point (E) is away from the center point (S) by a distance ( r ), and that the second point (M) is away from the first point (E) by a distance  $(N - 1)^2 r$ , the locus of the second point (M) defines a contour of a regular N – polygonal figure to be determined being circumscribed on a circle having a radius  $N(N - 2) r$ ” for the purpose of creating a square shape.

**Regarding independent claims 2, 3, 7 and 8,**

Based on forth rejections to independent claims 1, 4, 6 and 9, **Kimura** further teaches forming N-polygonal figures using (N – 1)-polygonal figures [Fig. 2 – 14]

**Response to Amendment**

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102**

7. Applicants’ argument regarding “Round square, Radius 1 is 60, Radius 2 is –45, Position is 101 do not correspond to the above-mentioned features” [Page 16, lines 1 – 3] is disagreed with. The Little reference teaches a tool for forming any different kind of polygon by the combinations of different R1, R2 and Position. The examiner provides R1 is 60, R2 is –45 and the Position is 135 which is used in applicant’s limitation  $(N-1)^2 r$ .

Art Unit: 2121


**Conclusion**

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang whose telephone number is (571) 272-3682. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-746-3506.

Sunray Chang  
Patent Examiner  
Group Art Unit 2121  
Technology Center 2100  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

  
**Anthony Knight**  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group 3600

December 7, 2005